arXiv:0806.1125v1 [math.GR] 6 Jun 2008

GRÖBNER-SHIRSHOV BASIS FOR THE BRAID GROUP IN THE ARTIN-GARSIDE GENERATORS

L. A. BOKUT*

Abstract. In this paper, we give a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of the braid group B_{n+1} in the Artin–Garside generators. As results, we obtain a new algorithm for getting the Garside normal form, and a new proof that the braid semigroup B^+n+1 is the subsemigroup in B_{n+1}.

1. Introduction and the main theorem

Markov [7] and Artin [1] independently found a normal form for words in the braid group

$$B_{n+1} = gp\langle a_1, \dots a_n | a_{i+1}a_ia_{i+1} = a_ia_{i+1}a_i, 1 \le i \le n, a_ka_s = a_sa_k, k - s > 1\rangle.$$

It was proved in [4] that the Markov-Artin normal form leads to a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of B_{n+1} in the Artin-Burau generators with the so-called inverse tower order of words in the generators. Recall that the Artin-Burau generators are the elements

$$a_i, A_{ij} = a_{j-1} \dots a_{i+1}a_i^2a_{i+1}^{-1} \dots a_{j-1}^{-1},$$

where $1 \le i < j \le n + 1$.

In the paper [5], a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of the semigroup of positive braids B^+_{n+1} in the Artin generators a_i was found.

In this paper, we find a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of the braid group B_{n+1} in the Artin-Garside generators $a_i, 1 \le i \le n, \Delta, \Delta^{-1}$ ([6]). Here we have

$$\Delta = \Lambda_1\Lambda_2 \dots \Lambda_n, \quad \text{with} \quad \Lambda_i = a_i \dots a_1.$$

Let us order these generators

$$\Delta^{-1} < \Delta < a_1 < \dots a_n.$$

We order words in this alphabet in the deg-lex way comparing two words first by theirs degrees (lengths) and then lexicographically when the degrees are equal.

By $V(j, i), W(j, i), \dots$, where $j \le i$, we understand positive words in the letters $a_j, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_i$. Also $V(i + 1, i) = 1, W(i + 1, i) = 1, \dots$.

Given $V = V(1, i)$, let $V^{(k)}, 1 \le k \le n - i$ be the result of shifting in V all indices of all letters by k, $a_1 \mapsto a_{k+1}, \dots a_i \mapsto a_{k+i}$, and we also use the notation $V^{(1)} = V'$. We write also $a_{ij} = a_ia_{i-1} \dots a_j, j \le i - 1, a_{ii} = a_i, a_{ii+1} = 1$.

---

*Supported in part by the Russian Fund of Basic Research, 05-01-00230, and the Integration Grant of the SB of the RAS, 1.9.

Theorem 1.1. *A Gröbner-Shirshov basis of B_{n+1} in the Artin-Garside generators consists of the following relations :*

$$a_{i+1}a_iV(1,i-1)W(j,i)a_{i+1j} = a_ia_{i+1}a_iV(1,i-1)a_{ij}W(j,i)', \tag{1.1}$$

$$a_sa_k = a_ka_s, s-k \geq 2, \tag{1.2}$$

$$a_1V_1a_2a_1V_2\dots V_{n-1}a_n\dots a_1 = \Delta V_1^{(n-1)}V_2^{(n-2)}\dots V_{n-1}', \tag{1.3}$$

$$a_l\Delta = \Delta a_{n-l+1}, 1 \leq l \leq n, \tag{1.4}$$

$$a_l\Delta^{-1} = \Delta^{-1}a_{n-l+1}, 1 \leq l \leq n, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\Delta\Delta^{-1} = 1, \Delta^{-1}\Delta = 1, \tag{1.6}$$

where $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $1 \leq j \leq i+1$, W begins with a_i if it is not empty, and $V_i = V_i(1,i)$.

Recall that a subset S of the free algebra $k\langle X\rangle$ over a field k on X is called a Gröbner-Shirshov set (basis) if every composition of elements of S is trivial. This definition goes back to Shirshov's 1962 paper [8]. We recall the definition of triviality of a composition in the below.

Let us define

$$\Lambda_i^{(-)} = a_i\dots a_2, i \geq 2,\ \Lambda_1^{(-)} = 1, E_i = \Lambda_1\dots\Lambda_{n-i}\Lambda_{n-i+1}^{(-)}\Lambda_{n-i+2}\dots\Lambda_n, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Then $E_ia_i = \Delta$, and so $a_i^{-1} = \Delta^{-1}E_i$. It follows that we do not need the letters a_i^{-1} and the relations $a_ia_i^{-1} = 1$, $a_i^{-1}a_i = 1$ in the above presentation of the group B_{n+1}.

We will write

$$\Lambda_i^{(--)} = a_i\dots a_3, i \geq 3,\ \Lambda_2^{(--)} = 1.$$

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Formulas (1.1)-(1.4) are valid in B_{n+1}^+ for

$$W(j,i)a_{i+1j} = a_{i+1j}W', V_{i-1}\Lambda_i = \Lambda_iV_{i-1}', a_i\Lambda_{i-1}\Lambda_i = \Lambda_{i-1}\Lambda_ia_1,$$
$$a_1\Lambda_{i+1}\dots\Lambda_n = \Lambda_{i+1}\dots\Lambda_na_{n-i+1}.$$

Formula (1.6) follows from (1.4).

Here and after notations are the same as in Theorem 1.1.

We need to prove that all compositions of relations (1.1)–(1.6) are trivial. The triviality of compositions of (1.1), (1.2) was proved in [5].

By "a word" we will mean a positive word in a_i, Δ; $u = v$ is either the equality in B_{n+1}^+ or the letter-by-letter equality (the meaning would be clear from the context).

We use the following notation for words u, v:

$$u \equiv v,$$

if u can be transformed to v by the eliminations of leading words of relations (1.1)–(1.4), i.e., by the eliminations of left parts of these relations. Actually, we will use an expansion of this notation meaning that $u \equiv v$ if

$$u \mapsto u_1 \mapsto u_2 \mapsto \dots \mapsto u_k = v,$$

where $u_i < u$ for all i and each transformation is an application of (1.1)–(1.4) (so, in general, only the first transformation $u \mapsto u_1$ is the elimination of the leading word of (1.1)–(1.4)).

An other expansion of that formula is

$$u \equiv v(mod\ w)$$

meaning that u can be transformed to v as before and all $u_i < w, u \leq w$.

By abuse of notations, we take that in a word equivalence chain starting with a word u,

$$u \equiv v \equiv w \equiv t \dots$$

each equivalence $v \equiv w,\ w \equiv t, \dots$ is $mod\ u$.

This agrees with the definition of triviality of a composition (see [2], [3]). Namely, a composition $(f,g)_w$ is called trivial $mod(S,w)$, if

$$(f,g)_w = \sum \alpha_i a_i s_i b_i, a_i \overline{s_i} b_i < w,$$

where $s_i \in S, a_i, b_i \in X^*, \alpha_i \in k$. Here $k\langle X\rangle$ is a free associative algebra over a field k on a set X, $S \subset k\langle X\rangle$, X^* is the set of all words in X, $\overline{s}$ is the leading monomial of a polynomial s. Recall that

$$(f,g)_w = fb - ag, w = \overline{f}b = a\overline{g}, deg(f) + deg(g) > deg(w),$$

or

$$(f,g)_w = f - agb, w = \overline{f} = a\overline{g}b.$$

Here w is called the ambiguity of the composition $(f,g)_w$, $a, b \in X^*$.

Let S be the set of polynomial corresponding to semigroup relations $u_i = v_i, u_i > v_i$, $(f,g)_w = u - v$ is a composition, $u, v \in X^*, u, v < w$. The triviality of $(f,g)_w$ $mod(S,w)$ means that in the previous sense

$$u \equiv t(mod\ w), v \equiv t(mod\ w)$$

for some word t.

Take $V = V(j,i), 2 \leq j \leq i$. By $V^{(-k)}$, where $1 \leq k \leq j-1$, we mean the result of shifting in V the indices of all letters by $-k$, $a_j \mapsto a_{j-k}, \dots, a_i \mapsto a_{i-k}$.

Take $\Delta_i = \Lambda_1 \dots \Lambda_i, V = V(1,i), V^{\Delta_i^{\pm 1}} = \Delta_i^{\mp 1} V \Delta_i^{\pm 1}$. Then $V^{\Delta_i^{\pm 1}}$ is equal in B_{n+1} to the word that is the result of substitutions $a_j \mapsto a_{i-j+1}, 1 \leq j \leq i$ in V. By abuse of notation, we will identify $V^{\Delta_i^{\pm 1}}$ with this word.

We need the formulas:

$$\Lambda_i W(2,i) \equiv W^{(-1)}\Lambda_i,\ \Lambda_i^{(-)} W(3,i) \equiv W^{(-1)}\Lambda_i^{(-)} \tag{2.1}$$

$$a_i \Lambda_{i-1} \Lambda_i^{(-)} \equiv \Lambda_{i-1}\Lambda_i,\ a_i \Lambda_{i-1}\Lambda_i \equiv \Lambda_{i-1}\Lambda_i a_1, \tag{2.2}$$

$$a_i \Lambda_{i-1} \Lambda_i^{(--)} \equiv \Lambda_{i-1}^{(-)}\Lambda_i, \tag{2.3}$$

$$a_i \Lambda_{i-1} V_{i-1} \Lambda_i \equiv \Lambda_{i-1}\Lambda_i a_1 V'_{i-1}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$a_i \Lambda_1 V_1 \dots \Lambda_{i-1} V_{i-1} \Lambda_i \equiv \Delta_i a_1 V_1^{(i-1)} \dots V'_{i-1}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$a_i V(1,i-1) \Lambda_1 V_1 \dots \Lambda_{i-1} V_{i-1} \Lambda_i \equiv \Delta_i a_1 V^{\Delta_i} V_1^{(i-1)} \dots V'_{i-1}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$a_i V(1,i-2) \Lambda_{i-1} W(2,i-1) \Lambda_i^{(-)} \equiv \Lambda_{i-1}\Lambda_i V^{(2)} W', \tag{2.7}$$

$$W'(1,i-1)\Lambda_2^{(-)} \dots \Lambda_i^{(-)} = \Lambda_2^{(-)} \dots \Lambda_i^{(-)} W^{\Delta_i}, W = W(1,i-1), \tag{2.8}$$

$$W(1,i-2)^{\Delta_{i-1}} \Lambda_i = \Lambda_i W^{\Delta_i}, W = W(1,i-2), \tag{2.9}$$

$$a_n \cdot a_{n-1} a_n \cdots a_1 \dots a_n \equiv \Delta, \tag{2.10}$$

where $i \geq 2, V_j = V_j(1,j), 1 \leq j \leq i$.

Formula (2.1) is clear.

Formula (2.2) can be proved by induction on $i \geq 2$. For $i = 2$, it is clear. Let $i > 2$. Then

$$a_i\Lambda_{i-1}\Lambda_i^{(-)} = a_ia_{i-1}\Lambda_{i-2}a_i\Lambda_{i-1}^{(-)} \equiv a_{i-1}a_ia_{i-1}\Lambda_{i-2}\Lambda_{i-1}^{(-)} \equiv a_{i-1}a_i\Lambda_{i-2}\Lambda_{i-1} \equiv \Lambda_{i-1}\Lambda_i.$$

Formula (2.3) can also be proved by induction on $i \geq 2$. For $i = 2$, it is clear. Let $i > 2$. Then

$$a_i\Lambda_{i-1}\Lambda_i^{(--)} = a_ia_{i-1}\Lambda_{i-2}a_i\Lambda_{i-1}^{(--)} \equiv a_{i-1}a_ia_{i-1}\Lambda_{i-2}\Lambda_{i-1}^{(--)} \equiv a_{i-1}a_i\Lambda_{i-2}^{(-)}\Lambda_{i-1} \equiv \Lambda_{i-1}^{(-)}\Lambda_i.$$

Formula (2.4) follows from (1.1) and (2.1).

Formula (2.5) is clear for $i = 2$; for $i > 2$, it follows from (1.1) and (2.2):

$$a_i\Lambda_1V_1\dots\Lambda_{i-1}V_{i-1}\Lambda_i \equiv \Lambda_1V_1\dots\Lambda_{i-2}V_{i-2}a_ia_{i-1}\Lambda_{i-2}V_{i-1}\Lambda_i \equiv$$

$$\Lambda_1V_1\dots\Lambda_{i-2}V_{i-2}a_{i-1}a_ia_{i-1}\Lambda_{i-2}\Lambda_{i-1}V_{i-1}' \equiv \Lambda_1V_1\dots\Lambda_{i-2}V_{i-2}a_{i-1}a_i\Lambda_{i-2}\Lambda_{i-1}a_1V_{i-1}' \equiv$$

$$\Lambda_1V_1\dots\Lambda_{i-2}V_{i-2}\Lambda_{i-1}\Lambda_ia_1V_{i-1}' \equiv \Delta_ia_1V_1^{(i-1)}\dots V_{i-1}'.$$

Remark, that the last word is less then the first word in the above chain of equivalence formulas, though it can be greater then the word just before the last.

Formula (2.6) can be proved by induction on $i \geq 2$. It is clear for $i = 2$. Take $i > 2$. Then formula (2.6) follows from (2.5) by induction on the number k of letters a_{i-1} in $V(1, i-1)$. If $k = 0$, the result is clear. Take $k \geq 1$ and

$$V(1, i-1) = W(1, i-1)a_{i-1}T(1, i-2).$$

Then

$$a_{i-1}T(1, i-2)\Lambda_1V_1\dots\Lambda_{i-2}V_{i-2}\Lambda_{i-1} \equiv \Delta_{i-1}a_1T^{\Delta_{i-1}}V_1^{(i-2)}\dots V_{i-2}'.$$

It follows that

$$a_iW(1, i-1)a_{i-1}T(1, i-2)\Lambda_1V_1\dots\Lambda_{i-1}V_{i-1}\Lambda_i \equiv$$

$$a_iW(1, i-1)\Delta_{i-1}a_1T^{\Delta_{i-1}}V_1^{(i-2)}\dots V_{i-2}'V_{i-1}\Lambda_i \equiv$$

$$a_iW(1, i-1)\Delta_ia_2T^{\Delta_i}V_1^{(i-1)}\dots V_{i-1}' \equiv \Delta_ia_1W^{\Delta_i}a_2T^{\Delta_i}V_1^{(i-1)}\dots V_{i-1}'.$$

Remark again that here the second and third words above are less then the first one for $i - 1 \geq 2$.

Formula (2.10) can be proved by induction on n. Indeed:

$$a_n \cdot a_{n-1}a_n \cdots a_2 \dots a_n \cdot a_1 \dots a_n \equiv a_2 \cdot a_3a_2 \cdots a_n \dots a_2 \cdot a_1 \dots a_n \equiv$$

$$a_2 \cdot a_3a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} \dots a_2 \cdot a_1 \dots a_{n-1} \cdot a_na_{n-1} \dots a_1 \equiv \cdots \equiv$$

$$a_2 \cdot a_3a_2 \cdot a_1a_2a_3 \cdot a_4 \dots a_1 \cdots a_n \dots a_1 \equiv a_2 \cdot a_1a_2 \cdot a_3a_2a_1 \cdots a_n \dots a_1 \equiv \Delta.$$

Now let us check the composition of (1.1), $i + 1 = n$, and (1.3). Without loss of generality we may assume that $j = 1$.

The ambiguity is

$$w = a_na_{n-1}V(1, n-2)W(1, n-1)\Lambda_1V_1\dots\Lambda_{n-1}V_{n-1}a_{n1}.$$

Applying (1.3) to w, we obtain

$$w_1 = a_na_{n-1}V(1, n-2)W(1, n-1)\Delta V_1^{(n-1)}\dots V_{n-1}'.$$

Applying (1.1) to w, we obtain

$$w_2 = a_{n-1}a_na_{n-1}V(1,n-2)\Lambda_{n-1}W'\Lambda_1'V_1'\dots\Lambda_{n-1}'V_{n-1}'.$$

Applying (1.1) and (2.1)-(2.10) to w_1, w_2, we obtain

$$w_1 \equiv \Delta a_1a_2V^{\Delta}W^{\Delta}V_1^{(n-1)}\dots V_{n-1}',$$

$$w_2 = a_{n-1}a_na_{n-1}V(1,n-2)\Lambda_{n-1}W'\Lambda_2^{(-)}V_1'\dots\Lambda_{n-1}^{(-)}V_{n-2}'\Lambda_n^{(-)}V_{n-1}' \equiv$$

$$a_{n-1}a_na_{n-1}V(1,n-2)\Lambda_{n-1}W'\Lambda_2^{(-)}\dots\Lambda_n^{(-)}V_1^{(n-1)}\dots V_{n-1}'(mod\ w) \equiv$$

$$a_{n-1}a_na_{n-1}V(1,n-2)\Lambda_{n-1}\Lambda_2^{(-)}\dots\Lambda_{n-1}^{(-)}\Lambda_n^{(-)}W^{\Delta}V_1^{(n-1)}\dots V_{n-1}'(mod\ w) \equiv$$

$$a_{n-1}a_na_{n-1}V(1,n-2)\Lambda_1\Lambda_2\dots\Lambda_{n-2}\Lambda_{n-1}\Lambda_n^{(-)}W^{\Delta}V_1^{(n-1)}\dots V_{n-1}'(mod\ w) \equiv$$

$$a_{n-1}a_na_{n-1}\Lambda_1\Lambda_2\dots\Lambda_{n-2}\Lambda_{n-1}\Lambda_n^{(-)}V^{\Delta}W^{\Delta}V_1^{(n-1)}\dots V_{n-1}'(mod\ w) \equiv$$

$$a_{n-1}a_n\Lambda_1\dots\Lambda_{n-2}\Lambda_{n-1}\Lambda_n^{(--)}a_1a_2V^{\Delta}W^{\Delta}V_1^{(n-1)}\dots V_{n-1}'(mod\ w) \equiv$$

$$a_{n-1}\Lambda_1\dots\Lambda_{n-2}\Lambda_{n-1}^{(-)}\Lambda_na_1a_2V^{\Delta}W^{\Delta}V_1^{(n-1)}\dots V_{n-1}'(mod\ w) \equiv$$

$$\Delta a_1a_2V^{\Delta}W^{\Delta}V_1^{(n-1)}\dots V_{n-1}'(mod\ w).$$

The composition is checked.

There is the composition of (1.1), $i+1 < n$ and (1.3). Again, we may assume that $j = 1$.

The ambiguity is

$$w = a_{i+1}a_iV(1,i-1)W(1,i)\Lambda_1V_1\dots\Lambda_{i+1}V_{i+1}\dots V_{n-1}\Lambda_n.$$

Applying (1.3) to w, we obtain

$$w_1 = a_{i+1}a_iV(1,i-1)W(1,i)\Delta V_1^{(n-1)}\dots V_{n-1}' \equiv$$

$$\Delta a_{n-i}a_{n-i+1}V^{\Delta}W^{\Delta}V_1^{(n-1)}\dots V_{n-1}'$$

Applying (1.1) to w, we have

$$w_2 = a_ia_{i+1}a_iV(1,i-1)\Lambda_iW(1,i)'\Lambda_1'V_1'\dots\Lambda_i'V_i'V_{i+1}\dots V_{n-1}\Lambda_n \equiv$$

$$\Lambda_1\dots\Lambda_{i+1}a_1a_2V^{\Delta_{i+1}}W^{\Delta_{i+1}}V_1^{(i)}\dots V_i'V_{i+1}\Lambda_{i+2}\dots V_{n-1}\Lambda_n(mod\ w) \equiv$$

$$\Delta a_{n-i}a_{n-i+1}V^{\Delta}W^{\Delta}V_1^{(n-1)}\dots V_i^{(n-i)}\dots V_{n-1}'.$$

Here we use the calculation of w_2 from the previous composition, substituting $n \mapsto i+1$.

The second composition is checked.

There is the composition of (1.3) and (1.1).

The ambiguity is

$$w = \Lambda_1V_1\dots V_{n-1}a_{ni+2}a_{i+1}a_i\dots a_1V(1,i-1)W(j,i)a_{i+1j}.$$

Applying (1.3) to w, we obtain

$$w_1 = \Delta V_1^{(n-1)}\dots V_{n-1}'VWa_{i+1j}.$$

Applying (1.1) to w, we have

$$w_2 = \Lambda_1 V_1 \dots V_{n-1} a_{ni+2} a_i a_{i+1} a_i \dots a_1 V(1, i-1) a_{ij} W' \equiv$$
$$\Lambda_1 V_1 \dots V_{n-1} a_i a_{ni+2} a_{i+1} a_i \dots a_1 V a_{ij} W' \equiv \Delta V_1^{(n-1)} \dots V'_{n-1} a_{i+1} V a_{ij} W' \equiv$$
$$\Delta V_1^{(n-1)} \dots V'_{n-1} V a_{i+1j} W' \equiv \Delta V_1^{(n-1)} \dots V'_{n-1} V W a_{i+1j}.$$

There is the composition of (1.1) and (1.4).
The ambiguity is

$$w = a_{i+1} a_i V(1, i-1) W(j, i) a_{i+1j+1} a_j \Delta.$$

Applying (1.4) to w, we obtain

$$w_1 = a_{i+1} a_i V W a_{i+1j+1} \Delta a_{n-j+1} \equiv \Delta a_{n-i} a_{n-i+1} V^{\Delta} W^{\Delta} a_{n-i} a_{n-i+1} \dots a_{n-j+1} \equiv$$
$$\Delta a_{n-i} a_{n-i+1} V^{\Delta} a_{n-i} a_{n-i+1} \dots a_{n-j+1} W^{\Delta(-1)} \equiv$$
$$\Delta a_{n-i} a_{n-i+1} a_{n-i} V^{\Delta} a_{n-i+1} \dots a_{n-j+1} W^{\Delta(-1)}.$$

Applying (1.1) to w, we obtain

$$w_2 = a_i a_{i+1} a_i V a_{ij} W' \Delta \equiv \Delta a_{n-i+1} a_{n-i} a_{n-i+1} V^{\Delta} a_{n-i+1} \dots a_{n-j+1} W'^{\Delta} \equiv$$
$$\Delta a_{n-i} a_{n-i+1} a_{n-i} V^{\Delta} a_{n-i+1} \dots a_{n-j+1} W'^{\Delta}.$$

The composition is checked for $W^{\Delta(-1)} = W'^{\Delta}$.
The triviality of the composition of (1.1) with (1.5) is proved similarly.
There is the composition of (1.3) and (1.4).
The ambiguity is

$$w = \Lambda_1 V_1 \dots V_{n-1} a_n \dots a_2 a_1 \Delta.$$

Applying (1.3) to w, we have

$$w_1 = \Delta V_1^{(n-1)} \dots V'_{n-1} \Delta \equiv \Delta^2 V_1^{(n-1)\Delta} \dots V'^{\Delta}_{n-1}.$$

Applying (1.4) to w, we obtain

$$w_2 = \Lambda_1 V_1 \dots V_{n-1} a_n \dots a_2 \Delta a_n \equiv \Delta \Lambda_1^{\Delta} V_1^{\Delta} \dots V_{n-1}^{\Delta} a_1 \dots a_{n-1} a_n =$$
$$\Delta a_n V_1^{\Delta} a_n a_{n-1} \dots V_{n-1}^{\Delta} a_1 \dots a_n \equiv \Delta a_n \cdot a_{n-1} a_n \cdots a_1 \dots a_n V_1^{\Delta(-(n-1))} \dots V_{n-1}^{\Delta(-1)}$$
$$\equiv \Delta^2 V_1^{(n-1)\Delta} \dots V'^{\Delta}_{n-1}$$

for $V_i^{(j)\Delta} = V_i^{\Delta(-j)}, 2 \leq i + j \leq n$.
The composition is checked.
The triviality of composition of (1.3) and (1.5) is proved similarly.

3. COROLLARIES

Let $S \subset k\langle X \rangle$. A word u is called S-irreducible if $u \neq a\overline{s}b$, where $s \in S, a, b \in X^*$. Let $Irr(S)$ be the set of all S-irreducible words.

Recall Shirshov's Composition lemma ([8], [2], [3]) :

Let S be a Gröbner-Shirshov set in $k\langle X \rangle$. If $f \in ideal(S)$, then $\overline{f} = a\overline{s}b, s \in S$. The converse is also true.

The Main corollary to this lemma is the following statement:

Subset $S \subset k\langle X \rangle$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov set iff the set $Irr(S)$ is a linear basis for the algebra $k\langle X \rangle / ideal(S) = k\langle X | S \rangle$ generated by X with defining relations S.

Let $G = sgp\langle X|S\rangle$ be the semigroup generated by X with defining relations S. Then S is called a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of G if S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of the semigroup algebra $k(G)$, i.e., S is a Gröbner-Shirshov set in $k\langle X\rangle$. It follows from the Main corollary to the Composition lemma that in this case any word u in X is equal in G to a unique S-irreducible word $C(u)$, called the normal (canonical) form of u.

Now let S be the set of relations (1.1)-(1.6), and let $C(u)$ be a normal form of a word $u \in B_{n+1}$. Then $C(u)$ has a form

$$C(u) = \Delta^k A,$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and A a positive S-irreducible word in a_i's. Let us prove that $A \neq \Delta A_1$ for every positive word A_1.

Let us switch to the semigroup B^+_{n+1}. Note that we have no generator Δ in B^+_{n+1}. The set S_1 of (1.1)-(1.2) is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of B^+_{n+1}. Then A is an S_1-irreducible word.

Suppose that

$$A = \Delta A_1,$$

where A_1 is a positive word. We may assume that A_1 is an S_1-irreducible word too. Then

$$A = a_1 \cdot a_2 a_1 \cdots a_n \dots a_1 A_1$$

in the semigroup B^+_{n+1}. Let us prove that

$$A = C(a_1 \cdot a_2 a_1 \cdots a_n \dots a_1 A_1) = \Lambda_1 V_1 \dots \Lambda_{n-1} V_{n-1} \Lambda_n V,$$

where here $=$ is the graphical equality, $V_i = V(1,i), 1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and $C(D)$ is a normal form of D in B^+_{n+1}. It would contradict the S-irreducibility of A.

More generally, let us prove that

$$C(\Lambda_1 W_1 \dots \Lambda_{n-1} W_{n-1} \Lambda_n W_n) = \Lambda_1 V_1 \dots \Lambda_{n-1} V_{n-1} \Lambda_n V_n,$$

where $W_i = W_i(1,i), V_i = V_i(1,i), 1 \leq i \leq n$. If

$$B = \Lambda_1 W_1 \dots \Lambda_{n-1} W_{n-1} \Lambda_n W_n$$

is a canonical word, than we are at home. Suppose, B contains the left part of a relation (1.1)-(1.2). If this word is a subword of $W_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, then the situation is clear: we can apply the relation to get a smaller word of the same form, and then we can use induction. Let the word be a subword of $\Lambda_k W_k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, but not W_k. It may only be the left part of (1.1), $k \geq i+1$. Then we have

$$\Lambda_k W_k = a_{ki+2} a_{i+1} a_i a_{i-1} \dots a_1 V(1, i-1) W(j,i) a_{i+1j} T_k \equiv$$
$$a_{ki+2} a_i a_{i+1} a_i a_{i-1} \dots a_1 V(1, i-1) a_{ij} W' T_k \equiv a_i \Lambda_k W_{k1},$$

where $T_k = T_k(1,k), W_{k1} = W_{k1}(1,k)$. Substituting this quantity of $\Lambda_k W_k$ in B, we obtain a positive word D which is smaller then B and has the same form. By induction, we are at home.

As a result, we have the following

Corollary 3.1. *The S-irreducible normal form of each word of B_{n+1} coincides with the Garside normal form of the word.*

Proof. Recall ([6]) that Garside normal form $G(u)$ of $u \in B_{n+1}$ is

$$G(u) = \Delta^k A,$$

where $u = G(u)$ in B_{n+1}, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and A is a positive word in a_i, $A \neq \Delta A_1$ for every positive word A_1, and A is the minimal word with these properties. We have proved that the S-irreducible normal form $C(u)$ has this properties. □

Corollary 3.2. *([6]) The semigroup of positive braids B_{n+1}^+ can be embedded into a group.*

Proof. It follows immediately from Theorem 1.1 that $B_{n+1}^+ \subset B_{n+1}$. □

References

[1] E. Artin, *Theory of Braids.* Ann. Math. **48** (1947), 101–126.
[2] L. A. Bokut, *Unsolvability of the word problem, and subalgebras of finitely presented Lie algebras.* Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **36** (1972), 1173–1219.
[3] L. A. Bokut, *Imbeddings into simple associative algebras.* Algebra i Logika **15** (1976), 117–142, 245.
[4] L. Bokut, V. Chainikov, K.P. Shum , *Markov and Artin normal form for braid groups revised via Gröbner-Shirshov bases.* 20 pp.
[5] L. Bokut, Y. Fong, W.-F. Ke, L-S. Shiao, *Gröbner-Shirshov basis for the braid semigroup.*Advances in Algebra and related topics. Proceedings of the ICM2002 satellite conference on algebra, Hong Kong (K.-P. Shum, Ed), River Edge, World Scientific, 2003, pp 14-25.
[6] A.F. Garside, *The braid group and other groups.* Quart. J. Math. Oxford **20** (1969), 235–254.
[7] A.A. Markov, An introduction to the algebraical theory of braids. (Russian). Proceedings of the Steklov Mast. Ins. RAS, **16** (1945).
[8] A. I. Shirshov, *Some algorithm problems for Lie algebras.* Sibirsk. Mat. Z. **3** (1962), 292–296. (English translation in ACM SIGSAM Bull. **33**, 2, (1999), 3-6.)

References

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia
E-mail address: `bokut@math.nsc.ru`